BHIMADZU

Analysis of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in Food Samples by LC-MS/MS

<u>Nozomi Maeshima</u>¹, Ruth Marfil-Vega², Manami Kobayashi¹

¹Shimadzu Corporation, Kawasaki, Kanagawa, Japan; ²Shimadzu Scientific Instruments Inc., Columbia, Maryland

I. Introduction

Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) is the collective name for a chemical group of organic fluorinated compounds, perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) are representative compounds of PFAS. They have been used water repellents, surface treatment agents, fire extinguishers, and coatings. PFAS are persistent and bioaccumulative in the environment because of their stable structure and known that they are present in a wide range of environmental water and wildlife. Due to concerns about human exposure through diet, studies on the status of food contamination by PFAS are being conducted in various countries. We have examined a quantitative analysis method for forty PFAS compounds in foods with two SPE cartridges.

2. Methods and Results

2-1. Material, sample, and equipment

Standard compounds were purchased from Wellington Laboratories. Carrot was purchased from a grocery store and homogenized using a freeze grinder. Quantification was performed using fully polypropylene lowbinding vials TORASTTM-H Bio Vial (Shimadzu GLC Ltd.) with a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer LCMS-8050 equipped with NexeraTM X3 UHPLC (Shimadzu). The system configuration is shown below. To prevent contamination from an equipment, a delay column was added between a mixer and an autosampler.

<Nexera X3 system>

TICACIA AO SYSIC										
Column	: Shim-pack Scepter™ C18-120 (100 mm x 2.0 mm I.D., 1.9 µm)									
Delay column	: Shim-pack GIST C18 (3.0 mm x 50 mm Ι.D., 5 μm, HSS)									
Mobile phase A	: Acetonitrile/water=5:95(v/v) containing 2 mmol/L Ammonium acetate									
Mobile phase B	: Acetonitrile									
Rinse	: Methanol/water=50:50(v/v)									
Flow rate	: 0.4 mL/min									
Time program	: B conc. 20% (0 min) \rightarrow 100% (10-12 min) \rightarrow 20% (12.01-15 min) The flow was introduced into the mass spectrometer between 0.1 to 9.6 min using a flow switching value.									
Column temp.	: 40 °C	Injection vol.	: 1 µL							
<lcms-8050></lcms-8050>										
Ionization	: ESI, Negative mode	DL temp.	: 200 °C							
Interface temp.	: 200 °C	Heat block temp.	: 300 °C							
Nebulizer gas	: 3 L/min	Heating gas	: 10 L/min							
Drying gas	: 10 L/min	Probe position	: +2 mm							

2-2. Extraction

Extraction was performed using a pre-processing method, taking reference from the QuEChERS method. It is a simple protocol that does not require glassware, and centrifugation is required only once. The procedure is shown in figure 1.

2-3. Development of purification process

Initially, purification was performed refer to 2nd draft method 1633 of EPA. However, due to significant losses in purification step with the SPE cartridge, detailed investigation was conducted focusing on the washing and elution steps. Two types of weak anion-exchanged SPE cartridges were evaluated: InertSep MA-2 (GL Sciences Inc.) or EVOLUTE® EXPRESS WAX (Biotage). Eleven aqueous solutions for washing and elution were prepared with methanol concentrations ranging from 0 to 100% in 10% increments. Three types of eluents were prepared: one containing formic acid (formic acid/methanol solution=1:1000(v/v)), one containing ammonium (28% ammonia solution/methanol solution=1:100(v/v/v)), and one containing nothing, and one set of eluent was sequentially supplied to each SPE cartridge. After loading the carrot extract containing PFAS into the SPE cartridge, elution was performed starting from a 5 mL portion with 0% methanol ratio, then gradually increasing (figure 2). Biotage® PRESSURE+ 48 was used for this process. The compounds present in each fraction were quantified (figure 3). Since there was a compound that eluted up to 53.5% (3:3 FTCA) at methanol ratio of 50%, formic acid/methanol/water=1:400:600(v/v/v) was chosen as the washing solution. Only a small number of compounds required methanol ratio of 100% for elution, so 28% ammonia solution/methanol/water=1:90/10(v/v/v) was used as the eluent.

2-4. Evaluation of concentration

The feasibility of concentrating the eluate using nitrogen gas blow-down was investigated. After adding standard compounds to 5 mL of purified solution of carrot, it was concentrated to less than 1 mL and filled up to 1 mL with methanol. Upon quantification with LC-MS, there were loss ranging from 67.6 to 98.7% for eight compounds, PFOSA, NMeFOSA, NEtFOSA, NMeFOSE, NEtFOSE, 3:3 FTCA, 5:3 FTCA, and 7:3 FTCA. The losses for the other compounds were 27.8% or less. Therefore, the concentration step using nitrogen gas blow-down was avoided in this pre-processing method.



Figure 3. Amount of elution at each methanol concentration The black line in the figure is drawn between methanol ratios of 50% and 60%.

Figure 5. MS chromatograms of PFAS 40 compounds

MP 213

Table 1. Recovery rate of PFAS using InertSep MA-2 or WAX												
Recovery rate (%)												
nound	time	in carrot	CONC -	InertSep MA-2			WAX					
pourra	(min)	(na/a)	(ng/mL)	After	After	Before	After	After	Before			
	()	((purification	extraction	extraction	purification	extraction	extraction			
	1.38	2.0	0.8	93.3	105.1	100.2	89.7	106.5	106.3			
	2.55	1.0	0.4	90.5	100.9	96.2	86.8	100.0	100.7			
	3.33	0.5	0.2	97.7	102.7	100.8	97.7	101.3	109.4			
	3.92	0.5	0.2	101.0	107.8	96.9	93.4	105.0	106.4			
	4.40	0.5	0.2	86.0	99.7	88.6	84.2	103.1	88.5			
	4.84	0.5	0.2	93.7	103.3	102.5	97.6	108.7	104.6			
	5.25	0.5	0.2	97.5	94.4	98.4	87.2	95.6	99.8			
	5.65	0.5	0.2	87.4	95.0	92.1	81.5	91.3	92.2			
	6.05	0.5	0.2	95.9	101.1	102.1	89.1	96.3	99.0			
A	6.43	0.5	0.2	82.3	83.5	89.4	79.2	82.5	87.3			
7	6.81	0.5	0.2	88.2	89.8	91.9	85.9	95.7	94.9			
	3.39	0.5	0.2	100.5	94.0	103.7	104.5	105.6	105.9			
	4.04	0.5	0.2	98.1	98.1	104.7	96.3	96.9	91.7			
	4.56	0.5	0.2	100.7	88.7	92.1	85.4	98.5	86.4			
	5.03	0.5	0.2	92.3	104.6	100.9	97.1	105.9	102.8			
	5.45	0.5	0.2	89.8	86.2	88.9	75.9	80.4	98.8			
	5.87	0.5	0.2	95.6	97.7	95.6	94.9	96.2	98.7			
	6.27	0.5	0.2	87.4	101.0	95.0	83.1	92.1	97.6			
	7.04	0.5	0.2	86.6	94.1	92.8	92.9	91.6	94.8			
	3.11	2.0	0.8	78.0	93.5	92.9	81.6	99.6	99.1			
	4.20	2.0	0.8	86.5	103.3	93.9	93.8	101.9	92.3			
	5.05	2.0	0.8	85.7	92.9	91.9	84.3	90.0	97.7			
1	7.23	0.5	0.2	95.0	92.5	98.5	91.3	93.5	100.0			
SA	8.76	0.5	0.2	91.2	99.8	92.1	86.3	94.6	104.6			
SA	9.13	0.5	0.2	92.0	102.8	100.3	90.9	92.1	106.3			
SAA	5.27	0.5	0.2	84.6	109.3	101.8	97.9	93.8	98.4			
SAA	5.45	0.5	0.2	104.5	111.4	79.0	90.6	99.9	114.0			
SE	8.60	5.0	2.0	91.4	94.2	95.9	85.2	88.7	99.3			
SE	8.98	5.0	2.0	93.8	94.8	99.0	87.7	89.2	98.9			
DA	3.56	2.0	0.8	87.8	99.3	98.0	85.1	99.6	103.5			
A	4.10	2.0	0.8	90.9	100.1	98.9	93.2	99.9	95.5			
30NS	5.76	2.0	0.8	95.0	100.2	97.8	95.7	100.1	101.1			
-30UdS	6.57	2.0	0.8	92.8	97.4	96.0	93.3	96.1	100.1			
CA	1.87	2.5	1.0	84.7	86.4	84.6	85.2	80.8	89.9			
CA	3.63	12.5	5.0	91.2	100.1	93.6	87.9	98.0	98.7			
CA	4.76	12.5	5.0	85.0	97.3	96.8	85.9	98.0	99.7			
A	3.70	1.0	0.4	91.5	97.7	95.1	91.2	96.8	101.0			
	1.79	1.0	0.4	88.2	100.5	96.4	86.2	102.2	101.2			
N	2.84	1.0	0.4	86.3	98.6	92.5	88.9	97.5	99.0			
	3.26	1.0	0.4	82.7	93.6	93.8	85.2	100.3	92.9			
				0	0	0	0	0	0			
				40	40	40	40	40	40			
				0	0	0	0	0	0			

3. Discussion

Purification conditions using SPE cartridges were studied and the optimized eluents was selected. Purification was performed using the optimized process and good recoveries were obtained. Two SPE cartridges of InertSep NA-2 and WAX were compared, but no significant difference was observed.

4. Conclusions

 \succ An LC-MS method for forty PFAS within fifteen minutes analysis were created.

> The development of the pre-processing step, and a recovery test were conducted under low PFAS concentration conditions, resulting in favorable results.

References: Analysis of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) in Aqueous, Solid, Biosolids, and Tissue Samples by LC-MS/MS, 2nd Dragt Method 1633, EPA (June 2022).

The products and applications in this presentation are intended for Research Use Only (RUO). Not for use in diagnostic procedures.