# 

## Quantitation of Acetaldehyde, Ethylene Oxide, 2-Chloroethanol, Ethylene Glycol in PEG 3350 by using dynamic headspace GC-MS/MS

Sanket Chiplunkar, Hemant Kesarkar, Siddhesh Sabdara, Prashant Hase, Durvesh Sawant, Rahul Dwiwedi, Pratap Rasam, Jitendra Kelkar Shimadzu Analytical (India) Pvt. Ltd., 5th Floor, ABR Emerald, Plot No. D-8, Street No.16, MIDC, Andheri East, Mumbai - 400 093, Maharashtra, India.

### **1. Overview**

Polyethylene glycol 3350 (PEG 3350) is used to treat occasional constipation and it is in a class of medications called osmotic laxatives. PEG 3350 is an addition polymer of ethylene oxide and water with formula H(OCH2CH2)n OH, in which **n** represents the average number of oxyethylene groups. The average molecular weight of PEG 3350 is 3015–3685 g/mol (Da).

Acetaldehyde, Ethylene Oxide (EtO), 2-Chloroethanol (2-CE), Ethylene Glycol (EG), 1,4-Dioxane and Diethylene Glycol (DEG) are the probable impurities of PEG 3350. All these above impurities are highly toxic & hazardous substances.

As per the USP monograph, different chromatography techniques and sample preparation methods are used to quantify above mentioned impurities. This study reports a single sensitive and reliable analytical method for quantitation of all above impurities by using dynamic headspace (Trap) coupled with GC-MS/MS system. Limit of impurities and detail method of analysis are shown in table 1

| No. | Impurity     | Limits<br>(As per USP) | Techniques used<br>(As per USP) | LOQ<br>(Achieved) | Technique used<br>(As per Shimadzu) |
|-----|--------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1   | Acetaldehyde | 30 ug/g                | LC-UV                           | 2 ug/g            |                                     |
| 2   | EtO          | 1 ug/g                 | GC-FID                          | 1 ug/g            | Dynamic Headspace                   |
| 3   | 1,4-Dioxane  | 10 ug/g                | GC-FID                          | 2 ug/g            | with GC-MS/MS –                     |
| 4   | EG           | 620 ug/g               | LC-DRI                          | 200 ug/g          | (Single Method)                     |
| 5   | DEG          | 1380 - 2000 ug/g       | LC-DRI                          | 200 ug/g          |                                     |
| 6   | 2-CE         | NA                     | NA                              | 1 ug/g            |                                     |

Table 1 Limit of impurities & detail method of sample analysis

 $\Box$  LOQ = Limit Of Quantification

## 2. Introduction

This study reports highly sensitive single method for the simultaneous quantification of multiple probable impurities of PEG 3350 by using dynamic headspace (Trap) coupled with triple quadrupole gas chromatography (GC-MS/MS) system.

### 3. Materials and methods

For this study, individual impurity reference standards were procured from Sigma Aldrich, whereas PEG 3350 powder sample was procured from local medical store.

10% of PEG 3350 powder sample solution (as such sample solution) and respective levels of matrixmatched calibration standard solutions were prepared in acetonitrile. Standard addition method was used to quantify impurities in the PEG 3350 sample. This method was part validated with respect to (w.r.t) precision, linearity/accuracy and sample analysis.

GCMS-TQ8050 NX equipped with headspace sampler HS-20 NX (Trap) (Figure 1), manufactured by Shimadzu Corporation Japan, was used to quantify impurities in the PEG 3350 sample.



Figure 1. Shimadzu GCMS-TQ8050 NX with HS-20 NX (Trap)

#### **3-1. Method development**

Instrumental method was developed w.r.t chromatography and mass spectrometry parameters. Individual Certified Reference Standard (CRS) were used to prepare standard stock solution. Further, from this stock, a standard solution mixture was prepared and then analyzed in the scan mode for identification. Steps such as precursor ion selection and MRM optimization at different Collision Energies (CE) were performed. SIM/MRM method with segmented MRM and optimum CE energies was generated.

Acetaldehyde, EtO and 2-CE were quantified by using MRM ion transitions. Whereas EG,1,4-Dioxane and DEG were quantified by using SIM ion transitions. Instrument parameters are given in Table 3 and optimized MRM/SIM transitions are given in Table 4.

#### **3-2.** Sample and standard solution preparations

• Preparation of sample solution (Matrix blank)

10% PEG 3350 sample solution was prepared in acetonitrile & then analyzed (100 uL in HS vial).

• Preparation of matrix matched calibration level solutions

5 levels of 10% matrix match calibration sample solutions of PEG 3350 were prepared from below five levels of solvent standard. Calibration levels of solvent standard are given in Table 2.

Table 2 Calibration levels of solvent standard (actual conc. in ppm)

| No. | Name         | Level 1 | Level 2  | Level 3 | Level 4  | Level 5 |
|-----|--------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| 1   | Acetaldehyde | 0.2 ppm | 0.5 ppm  | 1 ppm   | 1.5 ppm  | 2 ppm   |
| 2   | EtO          | 0.1 ppm | 0.25 ppm | 0.5 ppm | 0.75 ppm | 1 ppm   |
| 3   | 2-CE         | 0.1 ppm | 0.25 ppm | 0.5 ppm | 0.75 ppm | 1 ppm   |
| 4   | 1,4-Dioxane  | 0.2 ppm | 0.5 ppm  | 1 ppm   | 1.5 ppm  | 2 ppm   |
| 5   | EG           | 20 ppm  | 50 ppm   | 100 ppm | 150 ppm  | 200 ppm |
| 6   | DEG          | 20 ppm  | 50 ppm   | 100 ppm | 150 ppm  | 200 ppm |

#### **3-3. Analytical Conditions**

| GCMS System               | : GCMS-TQ8050 NX wit                   | h HS-20 NX (Trap)  | VIS             |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
|                           |  |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Chromatography Parameters |  |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Column                    | : SH-I624 Sil MS 60.0<br>(S/N:1649778) | : SH-I624 Sil MS 60.00 m, 0.25 mm I.D., 1.4 μm df<br>(S/N:1649778) |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Injection Mode            | : Split (30:1)                         |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Flow Control Mode         | : Column Flow                          |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Carrier Gas               | : Helium                               |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Column Flow               | : 1.4 mL/min                           |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Tamp Dragram              | Ramp Rate<br>(ºC/min)                  | Temp.<br>(ºC)  | Hold Time (min) |  |  |  |  |
| Temp. Program             | -                                      | 35   | 1.0             |  |  |  |  |
|                           | 30                                     | 235  | 12.33           |  |  |  |  |
| GC Run Time               | : 20 minutes                           |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Ionization Mode           | : Electron Ionization (EI)             |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Interface Temp.           | : 250 °C                               |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Ion Source Temp.          | : 240 °C                               |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Headspace parameters      |  |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Oven Temp.                | : 110 ºC                               |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Line Temp.         | : 130 °C                               |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Transfer Line Temp.       | : 150 °C                               |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Trap Cooling Temp.        | : -10 °C                               |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Trap Desorption Temp.     | : 280 °C                               |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Shaking Level             | : 5                                    |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Equilibrating Time        | : 30 minutes                           |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Mult Inj. Count (MIC)     | : MIC-1                                |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| Pressurizing Gas Pressure | : 192 kPa                              |  |                 |  |  |  |  |
| GC Cycle Time             | : 40 minutes                           |  |                 |  |  |  |  |

| Peak ID | Compound     | Quantifier | CE-1 | Qualifier | CE-2 | Qualifier | CE-3 |
|---------|--------------|------------|------|-----------|------|-----------|------|
| 1       | Acetaldehyde | 44>29      | 6    | 44>28     | 6    | 44>14     | 18   |
| 2       | EtO          | 44>29      | 6    | 44>28     | 6    | 44>14     | 18   |
| 3       | 2-CE         | 80>31      | 6    | 80>44     | 5    | 82>31     | 6    |
| 4       | 1,4-Dioxane  | 88         | -    | 58        | -    | -         | -    |
| 5       | EG           | 62         | -    | 43        | -    | -         | -    |
| 6       | DEG          | 75         | -    | 76        | -    | -         | -    |

### 4. Results









#### Table 4 MRM & SIM Ion transitions

Calibration curve for all six impurities are reported in Figure 2



### **Summary Of Results**

Validation parameters like LOQ precision, matrix match linearity / recovery and impurity content in sample were studied. Standard addition method was used to quantify impurities in the PEG 3350 sample. The summary of the results are shown in Table 5.

#### Table 5 Summary of the res

| No. | Validation Parameter  | Acetaldehyde | EtO     | 2-CE    | 1,4-Dioxane | EG      | DEG     |
|-----|-----------------------|--------------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|---------|
| 1   | LOQ precision (% RSD) | 2.1          | 4.8     | 9.9     | 3.3         | 5.3     | 8.3     |
|     | Conc. (w.r.t sample)  | 2ppm         | 1ppm    | 1ppm    | 2 ppm       | 200ppm  | 200ppm  |
| 2   | Linearity (r2)        | 0.99961      | 0.99892 | 0.99722 | 0.99512     | 0.99800 | 0.99507 |
| 3   | Sample analysis (ppm) | BLQ          | BLQ     | BLQ     | BLQ         | BLQ     | BLQ     |

□ BLQ = Below Limit Of Quantification

### Merits of headspace injection method

- headspace mode of analysis.
- which minimizes errors.

## **5.** Conclusion

- (Trap) dynamic headspace sampler.
- trace levels.

### 6. References

# **TP 301**

> Dynamic headspace has an edge over liquid injection technique in terms of sample preparation, less matrix interference and trace level quantitation.

> All impurities can be measured easily in single run with very low LOQ conc. by using dynamic

> No clean up reagents or extraction salts are used and hence no additional sample preparation

Trace level quantification of Acetaldehyde, EtO, 2-CE, 1,4-Dioxane, EG and DEG in PEG 3350 sample was successfully performed by using Shimadzu GCMS-TQ8050 NX with HS-20 NX

> Dynamic headspace mode outperforms the current regulatory limits, delivering multifold times more sensitivity compared to other injection techniques.

> Shimadzu GCMS-TQ8050 NX features a new highly efficient detector and superior noise reduction technology that enhance sensitivity and enables quantitation of impurities even at

[1] EURL-SRM – Analytical Observation Report, Version 1.1 (December 2020)

[2] The Polyethylene Glycol 3350 Revision Bulletin (December 2020)